

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Electronic structure of fluorite-type crystals

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 6603

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/1/37/008)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.93 The article was downloaded on 10/05/2010 at 18:48

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# **Electronic structure of fluorite-type crystals**

R A Evarestov, I V Murin and A V Petrov

Chemistry Department, Leningrad State University, Leningrad, USSR

Received 21 July 1988, in final form 27 January 1989

Abstract. The results of the electronic structure calculations made in the large-unit-cell complete neglect of differential overlap approach are given for the crystals  $MF_2$  ( $M \equiv Ca$ , Sr, Ba, Cd, Pb or Sn) and PbSnF<sub>4</sub>. The same approach is used for the impurity centre  $MF_2$ :Cd ( $M \equiv Ca$ , Sr, Ba or Pb) and the lattice distortion introduced by the symmetrical shift of the nearest cation neighbour. It is shown that the influence on the band structure of this lattice distortion is not large.

# 1. Introduction

Ionic crystals with a fluorite-type structure have been widely studied in the last 10 years. These are prospective optical materials in the vacuum ultraviolet area which have an anomalously high ionic conductivity (superionic solids). Fluorine conductive solid electrolytes are used in gas sensors, ion-selective electrodes and opto-ionic devices.

The calculation of the electronic structure is the first step in the theoretical investigation of crystals. It is the electronic structure that mainly determines both the properties of a perfect crystal and its interaction with point defects.

Systematic calculations of the band structure of the fluorides of rare-earth metals were started only in the 1970s (Salamon 1979, Hayes 1974).

The use of the LCAO basis and quantum chemical calculational schemes in solid state theory allows one to understand the connection between the crystal properties and the behaviour of the atoms which form the crystal (Evarestov 1982).

The application of the large-unit-cell (LUC) model in a quantum chemical scheme (Evarestov *et al* 1983) permits one to describe pure and defect crystals in the limits of the same approach. It is important to understand the nature of defects.

The mechanism of ionic transport is one of the most interesting problems in solid state theory. The phenomenon of superionic conductivity is qualitatively explained by quasi-melting of one of the sublattices in crystal. This determines the transition from the dielectric phase to the electrolyte phase.

A certain number of ions in the crystal are displaced from their regular positions after dopants have been introduced (heterovalent solid solutions) or by increasing the temperature, which causes structure irregularity. It is of great interest to understand the connection between the superionic properties of the crystal and the change in its electronic structure when the crystal turns into the electrolyte phase.

In this work, we give the electronic structure calculations of a number of inorganic fluorides  $MF_2$  (M = Ca, Sr, Ba, Cd, Pb or Sn) and PbSnF<sub>4</sub>. These calculations were

| Crystal          |                            | $\Delta E_{ m g}$ | $E_{\rm v}$ | $\Delta E_{ m v}$ | $Q_{\rm M}$ | $Q_{\rm F}$ |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| CaF <sub>2</sub> | $Ca_{8}F_{16}(I)$          | 14.40             | -8.20       | 4.84              | 1.99        | -0.99       |
| -                | $Ca_{32}F_{64}(I)$         | 14.30             | -8.19       | 4.84              | 1.99        | -0.99       |
|                  | $Ca_8F_{16}(II)$           | 13.62             | -8.82       | 4.86              | 1.99        | -0.99       |
|                  | Experiment <sup>a</sup>    | 12.10             | -12.30      | 4.8               |             | _           |
|                  | TB method <sup>b</sup>     | 17.09             | -14.04      | 2.73              |             | _           |
|                  | TB method <sup>c</sup>     | —                 | -8.78       | 8.75              |             | _           |
|                  | LCAO method <sup>d</sup>   | 9.8               | -11.66      | 2.01              |             |             |
| $SrF_2$          | $Sr_{8}F_{16}(I)$          | 11.27             | -8.49       | 4.47              | 1.99        | -0.99       |
| $BaF_2$          | $Ba_{8}F_{16}(I)$          | 11.20             | -9.33       | 3.35              | 1.99        | -0.99       |
| $CdF_2$          | $Cd_{8}F_{16}(I)$          | 9.67              | -9.25       | 5.26              | 1.99        | -0.99       |
| -                | TB method <sup>b</sup>     | 14.26             | -13.7       | 3.5               | _           | _           |
| $SnO_2$          | $Sn_8O_{16}(II)$           | 3.78              | -1.39       | 10.53             | 3.20        | -1.60°      |
| $SnF_2$          | $Sn_8F_{16}(II)$           | 8.40              | -6.90       | 6.52              | 1.84        | -0.92       |
| -                | $Sn_{16}F_{32}$ (II)       | 8.01              | -7.05       | 6.37              | 1.77        | -0.88       |
| PbF <sub>2</sub> | $Pb_{8}F_{16}(I)$          | 15.20             | -9.07       | 9.50              | 1.98        | -0.98       |
|                  | $Pb_{32}F_{64}(I)$         | 14.70             | -8.31       | 9.54              | 1.98        | -0.98       |
|                  | $Pb_8F_{16}(II)$           | 9.04              | -8.44       | 6.74              | 1.77        | -0.88       |
| $PbSnF_4$        | $Pb_{16}Sn_{16}F_{64}(II)$ | 3.73              | -4.47       | 8.21              | 1.80        | -0.89       |

**Table 1.** The main electronic structure characteristics of the crystalline fluorides:  $\Delta E_g$ , the energy gap;  $E_v$ , the top of upper valence band;  $\Delta E_v$ , the valence band width;  $Q_M$ , metal effective charge;  $Q_F$ , fluorine effective charges.

<sup>a</sup> Poole et al (1975).

<sup>b</sup> Albert *et al* (1977).

<sup>c</sup> Starostin and Ganin (1973).

<sup>d</sup> Heaton and Lin Chun (1980).

e On O atoms.

made by the complete neglect of differential overlap (CNDO) method in the LUC approach (Evarestov 1982). We also calculated the impurity MF<sub>2</sub>:Cd centres ( $M \equiv Ca$ , Sr, Ba or Pb) and PbF<sub>2</sub> and CaF<sub>2</sub> crystals with a structure irregularity, i.e. a symmetric shift of the first-coordination sphere of cations in crystals. We estimated the influence of the quasimelting of the anion sublattice on the electronic structure. This melting is given by Kristofel (1985) for cationic superionics.

# 2. The band structure of pure crystals

Up to the present time the electronic structure of the crystal  $CaF_2$  has been investigated fully compared with those of other fluorite-type crystals. The main results obtained in the band-structure calculations of  $CaF_2$  are the following.

(i) The upper valence band (UVB) consists of  $F^-$  ion states; the top of the UVB (TUVB) and the bottom of the UVB have  $X_2$  and  $X_1$  symmetry respectively; the  $\Gamma_{15}$ -state oneelectron energy is higher than that of  $\Gamma_{25'}$ .

(ii) The bottom of conduction band (BCB) is s type (symmetry,  $\Gamma_1$ ) which consists mainly of Ca<sup>2+</sup> 4s states with some addition of F<sup>-</sup> 2s states.

The quantitative results of band-structure calculations obtained by the LCAO and the tight-binding (TB) methods differ both from each other and from experimental data (table 1).

The non-self-consistent calculation (Albert *et al* 1977) in the TB approach with local exchange gives a very narrow UVB. TB calculations with non-local exchange (Starostin and Ganin 1973) give an UVB twice as large as the experimental one (Poole *et al* 1975). The OPW and APW methods (Starostin and Shepilov 1975, Nemoshkalenko *et al* 1976) give too narrow an UVB. So no band calculations have been made in which the experimental width of the UVB was obtained. The various calculated values of the band gap in CaF<sub>2</sub> are given in table 1. From the experimental investigation (Poole *et al* 1975) the band structures of the crystals  $SrF_2$  and  $BaF_2$  are similar to that of  $CaF_2$ . The same conclusion can be made from our results in table 1.

In the paper by Albert *et al* (1977) the band-structure calculations of  $CaF_2$  and  $CdF_2$  crystals were performed by the TB method for the valence band and by the pseudopotential method for the conduction band; the UVB in  $CdF_2$  is a little wider than in  $CaF_2$ , and the d states of  $Cd^{2+}$  ions situated under the UVB form a very narrow band (0.7 eV). As shown experimentally (Berger 1974), the band gap obtained is wider in  $CaF_2$  than in  $CdF_2$ .

The band structure of  $\beta$ -PbF<sub>2</sub> has been calculated for the first time by Evarestov *et al* (1984). It follows from experiment (Scrocco 1982) that the TUVB in PbF<sub>2</sub> consists of s states of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, while the BCB is formed by p states of Pb<sup>2+</sup>. The TB calculation of PbF<sub>2</sub> (Velicky and Masek 1986) agrees with experiment.

The experimental band gap in the fluorite modification of  $PbSnF_4$  crystals is known (3.5 eV) (Vashishta *et al* 1979).

For  $PbSnF_4$  and  $SnF_2$  crystals, electronic structure calculations were made in this work for the first time. The simulation of the electronic structure of the above-mentioned crystals was done using a quasi-molecule in a cyclic model. The calculation scheme will be given below.

#### 3. Calculation scheme

In our calculations, we have used the LUC CNDO approximation (Evarestov 1982). The matrix elements of the one-electron Hamiltonian for the LUC model in the CNDO approximation are as follows:

$$F_{\mu\mu} = U_{\mu\mu} + \beta_{AA} \sum_{j} (S^{0j}_{\mu\mu} - \delta_{0j}) - \sum_{j,B} Z_B (1 - \delta_A \delta_{0j}) \gamma^{0j}_{AB} + \sum_{j,B} P_B \gamma^{0j}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu\mu} \gamma^{00}_{AA}$$
(1)

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \beta_{\rm AB} \sum_{j} S^{0j}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu\nu} \sum_{j} f(\bar{R}_{\rm A} - \bar{R}_{\rm B} + \bar{R}_{j}) \gamma^{0j}.$$
 (2)

Here

$$f(\bar{R}_{\rm A} - \bar{R}_{\rm B} + \bar{R}_{j}) = \frac{1}{V_{\rm B}} \int_{V_{\rm B}} \exp[i\bar{K}(\bar{R}_{\rm A} - \bar{R}_{\rm B} + \bar{R}_{j})] \,\mathrm{d}K \tag{3}$$

is the Brillouin  $\delta$  function of the crystal. In (1) and (2) the atomic orbitals (AOS)  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  belong to atoms A and B in the LUC, respectively. The subscript *j* runs over the LUCs in the crystal, and  $S^{0j}$  and  $J^{0j}_{AB}$  are the overlap and Coulomb integrals calculated with the atomic functions in the zeroth and *j*th cells. Equations (1) and (2) contain a core integral  $U_{\mu\mu}$ , a resonance integral  $\beta$  and a core charge Z. The gross atomic population  $P_{\rm B}$  and

|         | 0            |                    | 7.7                   |                    |  |
|---------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
|         | $\beta$ (eV) | $-U_{\rm ss}$ (eV) | $-U_{\rm pp}$<br>(eV) | $-U_{\rm dd}$ (eV) |  |
| F (I)   | 35           | 129.544            | 108.933               | _                  |  |
| F(II)   | 9            | 129.544            | 108.933               |                    |  |
| Ca (I)  | 3.3          | 9.842              | _                     |                    |  |
| Ca (II) | 0.27         | 9.842              | _                     | _                  |  |
| Sr(I)   | 3.35         | 9.43               |                       |                    |  |
| Ba (I)  | 3.6          | 10.49              |                       |                    |  |
| Pb (I)  | 10           | 51.13              | 26.62                 |                    |  |
| Pb (II) | 2            | 51.13              | 26.62                 |                    |  |
| Cd (I)  | 6.5          | 97                 | _                     | 125.47             |  |
| Sn (II) | 1            | 26.98              | 21.87                 |                    |  |

**Table 2.** Parameters of the CNDO scheme used in fluorite-type crystal calculations. The orbital exponents were taken from the tables in the papers by McLean and McLean (1981) and Clementi and Roetti (1974).

the density matrix elements  $P_{\mu\nu}$  are calculated using the basis set of AOs. Integration in (3) is carried out over the small Brillouin zone which corresponds to the LUC chosen. The Coulomb integrals  $\gamma_{AA}^{00}$  are calculated from the Hartree–Fock ionisation potentials for neutral and charged atoms:

$$\gamma_{AA}^{00} = I_u(A^+) - I_u(A^0). \tag{4}$$

The core integrals  $U_{\mu\mu}$  are calculated according to the following relation;

$$U_{\mu\mu} = -I_{\mu} (\mathbf{A}^{0}) - (Z_{\mathbf{A}} - 1)\gamma_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}}^{00}.$$
 (5)

The two-centre integrals  $\gamma_{AB}^{0j}$  are calculated from the Ohno (1967) expression using  $\gamma_{AA}^{00}$ .

The resonance integrals  $\beta$  in the CNDO scheme were considered in our calculations as fitting parameters. When the basis set I is used (wavefunctions of neutral atoms), the integrals  $\beta_{AA}$ ,  $\beta_{AB}$  and  $\beta_{BB}$  were chosen to represent the experimental values  $\Delta E_v$  (width of the UVB) and  $\Delta E_g$  (band gap) and the symmetry of band.

For the basis set II (wavefunctions of charged ions),  $\beta_A$  and  $\beta_B$  were fitted, and  $\beta_{AB}$  was set equal to

$$\beta_{\rm AB} = 1.3(\beta_{\rm A}\beta_{\rm B})^{1/2}.$$
 (6)

All the parameters used are given in table 2. For  $CaF_2$  crystals with these parameters, the relative positions of the different symmetry levels and the atomic composition of the corresponding molecular orbitals were reproduced correctly.

The effective atomic charges obtained correspond to the ionic bond. When the size of the quasi-molecule was increased, the calculation results did not change considerably. This means that a relatively small LUC ( $Ca_8F_{16}$ ) reproduced rather well the  $\Gamma$ , X and L states in the band structure.

We showed that the band structure of  $PbF_2$  differs from those of rare-earth and cadmium fluorides. The TUVB has a symmetry  $X_1$  and is formed from s states of  $Pb^{2+}$ ; the BCB with a symmetry  $\Gamma_{4'}$  is formed from p states of  $Pb^{2+}$ .

To calibrate the parameter  $\beta$ Sn–Sn which is necessary for the following simulations, we calculated the electronic structure of SnO<sub>2</sub> crystals (space group,  $D_{4h}^{14}$ ; rutile structure).

| Crystal                          | $\Delta E_{ m g}$ | $E_{ m v}$ | $\Delta E_{ m v}$ | $\Delta E_{ m d}$ |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| CaF <sub>2</sub> , pure (I)      | 12.50             | -8.21      | 4.84              |                   |
| CaF <sub>2</sub> , irregular (I) | 12.34             | -8.18      | 4.87              |                   |
| PbF <sub>2</sub> , pure (I)      | 14.74             | -8.31      | 9.54              |                   |
| PbF <sub>2</sub> , irregular (I) | 14.52             | -8.01      | 9.74              | _                 |
| $CdCa_7F_{16}(I)$                | 15.63             |            | 4.86              | 3.86              |
| $CdSr_7F_{16}(I)$                | 14.46             |            | 4.48              | 5.78              |
| $CdBa_7F_{16}(I)$                | 14.15             |            | 3.35              | 7.58              |
| $CdPb_{7}F_{16}(I)$              | 15.20             | -8.80      | 8.54              | 0.87              |
| $CdPb_{31}F_{64}(I)$             | 14.76             | -8.34      | 9.40              | 1.65              |
| $Cd_4Pb_{28}F_{64}(I)$           | 14.80             | -7.91      | 9.0               |                   |
| $Cd_{8}Pb_{24}F_{64}(I)$         | 14.87             | -8.38      | 7.4               |                   |

**Table 3.** The band-structure characteristics of imperfect crystals:  $\Delta E_d$ , the distence from the bottom of the UVB to the level.

We found from our calculations that in this crystal the UVB was formed by 2p states of O and 5s states of Sn, the TUVB has an  $M_5$  symmetry and the BCB has a  $\Gamma_1$ + symmetry and was formed by 5p states of Sn. Earlier, the SnO<sub>2</sub> band structure had been calculated by the approximate TB method (Robertson 1979). In this calculation the symmetries of the TUVB and BCB were  $\Gamma_3$ + and  $\Gamma_1$ +, respectively. We have also fitted  $\beta$  for SnO<sub>2</sub> to experimental values of  $\Delta Eg$  and  $\Delta Ev$ .

 $\alpha$ -SnF<sub>2</sub> has the space group C2/c with a monoclinic body-centres lattice. There are eight molecules of SnF<sub>2</sub> in a primitive cell. For SnF<sub>2</sub>, the use of only the  $\Gamma$  point for the self-consistent process have given good results for the electronic structure. Even when other points were added, there were no significant changes.

The TUVB in  $SnF_2$  is formed by s states of cations, and this band is too wide. The dispersion of bands in  $\Gamma$ -Y and  $\Gamma$ -M directions is not large. The effective atomic charges correspond to the ionic crystal but are less than in fluorite-type crystals.

We have studied  $\gamma$ -PbSnF<sub>4</sub> crystals which possess cubic symmetry.

Calculations were carried out for the cyclic system  $Pb_{32}F_{64}$  corresponding to the LUC of  $PbF_2$  crystals with cubic symmetry. We have calculated the cyclic system  $Pb_{16}Sn_{16}F_{64}$  with the correct stoichiometry of  $PbSbF_4$ . The local group of cations in this model is  $O_h$ .

As a result of our calculations, it was shown that in  $PbSbF_4$  the TUVB is formed by s states of Sn, and the BCB is formed by p states of Sn. The atomic charge of Sn is less than that of Pb. The calculated band gap (3.7 eV) is in good agreement with the experimental value. We had no fitted parameters for calculations of  $PbSnF_4$  and  $SnF_2$ .

### 4. Defects in fluorides

Defects in fluoride crystals were calculated in using the LUC model with the parameters of the CNDO scheme calibrated for perfect crystals.

When the  $Cd^{2+}$  ion is placed in the cation site of  $MF_2$  (M = Ca, Sr or Ba), the band gap is increased and there is no influence on the UVB. On increasing the atomic number of the metal in the fluoride, the narrow band of Cd is lowered.

We have investigated  $PdF_2$ :Cd defects more carefully, because in the  $PbF_2$ -CdF<sub>2</sub> system there is essentially an increase in the ionic conductivity as shown experimentally (Murin and Chernov 1982). Table 3 gives the calculation results of the centre  $PbF_2$ :Cd.

The defect period in the cyclic model was changed from  $2R\sqrt{2}$  (CdPb<sub>7</sub>F<sub>16</sub>) to 4R (CdPb<sub>31</sub>F<sub>64</sub>) where *R* is the inter-atomic distance. The results given in table 3 show that

(i) the widths  $\Delta E_{g}$  and  $\Delta E_{v}$  change insignificantly and

(ii) the defect local level (Cd d type) is situated above the UVB and is not split by the crystal field.

Shifting symmetrically the nearest anion to the impurity atom anions in PbF<sub>2</sub>:Cd the total energy of the quasi-molecule CdPb<sub>31</sub>F<sub>64</sub> was minimised. The energy minimum corresponds to the case when anions move to the dopant from their regular positions and are fixed at a distance of 90% of the inter-atomic distance in a pure PbF<sub>2</sub> crystal.

We have investigated three impurity ion concentrations ( $P_{Cd}$ ): 3, 14 and 33%, which correspond to the LUCs CdPb<sub>31</sub>F<sub>64</sub>, Cd<sub>4</sub>Pb<sub>28</sub>F<sub>64</sub> and Cd<sub>8</sub>Pb<sub>24</sub>F<sub>64</sub>. If  $P_{Cd}$  increases, the width of the UVB decreases; the d level of Cd splits into a narrow d band;  $\Delta E_g$  changes very little.

The coordinates of atoms displaced from their regular positions in fluorite-type crystals have been given by Hutchings *et al* (1984), on the bases of neutron scattering experiment. We have simulated this displacement in the quasi-molecule  $M_{32}F_{64}$  (M = Ca or Pb) by shifting eight fluorine atoms from the nearest coordination sphere of cations. Thus the concentration of shifting ions was taken to be 12.5%. From the results given in table 3, one can see that the main characteristics of the band structure of these crystals change insignificantly. When fluorine atoms were shifted, the change in Coulomb interaction also was too small, because the shift was only a few per cent.

The F concentration in fluorite in the superionic state was estimated by Catlow and Hayes (1982) and appeared to be about 2%. Even such a high concentration (12.5%) gave no localised levels, which is not what occurs in cationic superionics.

The influence of the atomic irregularity on the band structure of fluorite-type crystals despite some differences in electronic structure is not large.

# References

Albert J P, Jouanin C and Gout C 1977 Phys. Rev. B 16 4619-29

Berger J M C 1974 C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris 279 512-7

Catlow C R A and Hayes W J 1982 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 15 L9-13

Clementi E and Roetti C 1974 At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 14 177

Evarestov R A 1982 Kvantovokhimicheskie Metody v Teorii Tverdogo Tela (Leningrad: Leningrad State University Publishing House)

Evarestov R A, Kotomin E A and Ermoshkin A N 1983 Molekulyarnye Modeli Tochechnykh Defectov v Shirokoschelevykh Tverdykh Telakh (Riga: Zinatne)

Evarestov R A, Murin I V and Petrov A V 1984 Fiz. Tverd. Tela 26 2579-86

Hayes W (ed.) 1974 Crystals with the Fluorite Structure (Oxford: Clarendon)

Heaton R A and Lin Chun C 1980 Phys. Rev. B 22 3629-38

Hutchings M T, Clausen K, Dickens M H, Hayes W, Kjems J K, Schnabel P C and Smith C 1984 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 17 3903-40

Kristofel N N 1985 Fiz. Tverd. Tela 27 2001-20

McLean A D and McLean R S 1981 At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 26 197

Murin I V and Chernov S V 1982 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Neorg. Mater. 18 168-9

Nemoshkalenko V V, Aleshin V C and Panchenko M T 1976 Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 231 585-9

Ohno K 1967 Adv. Quant. Chem. 3 240-9

Poole R T, Leckey R C, Jenkin J G and Liesegang J 1975 Phys. Rev. B 12 5872-7

Robertson J J 1979 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 12 4767-76

Salamon M B 1979 Springer Current Topics in Physics vol 15 (Berlin: Springer)

Scrocco M 1982 Phys. Rev. B **25** 1535–9 Starostin N V and Ganin 1973 Fiz. Tverd. Tela **15** 3404–7 Starostin N V and Shepilov 1975 Fiz. Tverd. Tela **17** 822–5 Vashishta P, Mundy J N and Shenoy G K (ed.) 1979 Fast-Ion Transport in Solids (Amsterdam: North-Holland) Velicky B and Masek J 1986 Solid State Commun. **58** 663–6